

# United States Patent and Trademark Office

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS P.O. Box 1450 Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450 www.uspto.gov

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.		
09/686,917	10/09/2000	Seung-Ho Hong	MESO0026	5486		
7:	7590 02/23/2005			EXAMINER		
Law Offices of Ronald M. Anderson			DOROSHENK	DOROSHENK, ALEXA A		
600 - 108th Av Suite 507	venue N.E.,		ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER		
Bellevue, WA 98004			1764			
				5		

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

	Applic	ation No.	Applicant(s)	
	09/686	6,917	HONG ET AL.	
Office Action Summar	Y Exami	ner	Art Unit	
	Alexa A	A. Doroshenk	1764	
The MAILING DATE of this con	nmunication appears on	the cover sheet with	the correspondence address	
Period for Reply		TO EVOIDE - 140	NITI ((a) == a	
A SHORTENED STATUTORY PERIOD THE MAILING DATE OF THIS COMM  - Extensions of time may be available under the proparter SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this - If the period for reply specified above is less than the set of th	MUNICATION.  visions of 37 CFR 1.136(a). In no second control	event, however, may a rep statutory minimum of thirty ( d will expire SIX (6) MONTH application to become ABA	ly be timely filed  30) days will be considered timely.  IS from the mailing date of this communication  NDONED (35 U.S.C. § 133).	ion.
Status				
1) Responsive to communication (s	s) filed on <i>22 November</i>	2004.		
2a) This action is FINAL.	2b) ☐ This action is			
3) Since this application is in cond	, —		s, prosecution as to the merits	is
closed in accordance with the p				
Disposition of Claims				
4)⊠ Claim(s) <u>1-11 and 32-50</u> is/are p	pending in the application	nn		
4a) Of the above claim(s)		•	•	
5) Claim(s) 32-50 is/are allowed.		oriolatical.		
6)⊠ Claim(s) <u>1-11</u> is/are rejected.				
7) Claim(s) is/are objected t	:O.		•	
8) Claim(s) are subject to re	estriction and/or election	requirement.		
Application Papers				
9)☐ The specification is objected to b	v the Examiner			
10) The drawing(s) filed on is/		b) objected to by	the Examiner	
Applicant may not request that any				
Replacement drawing sheet(s) inclu			· · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · ·	'd)
11) The oath or declaration is objected	ed to by the Examiner. I	Note the attached O	office Action or form PTO-152.	ω).
Priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119				
12) Acknowledgment is made of a cla	aim for foreign priority u	nder 35 U.S.C. 8 11	19(a)-(d) or (f)	
a) ☐ All b) ☐ Some * c) ☐ None o	of:		. o(a) (a) or (i).	
1. Certified copies of the price		en received.		
2. Certified copies of the priority documents have been received in Application No				
			ceived in this National Stage	
application from the Intern				
* See the attached detailed Office a	ection for a list of the cer	tified copies not red	ceived.	
\ttachmont/=\				
ttachment(s)  Notice of References Cited (PTO-892)		<b>∆</b> , □ ,	<b>1070</b> 1455	
) Notice of References Cited (P10-892)  Notice of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Revie	ew (PTO-948)		mary (PTO-413) ail Date	
) Information Disclosure Statement(s) (PTO-144 Paper No(s)/Mail Date			mal Patent Application (PTO-152)	
Patent and Trademark Office OL-326 (Rev. 1-04)	Office Action Summ		Part of Paper No./Mail Date 200502	

Application/Control Number: 09/686,917

**Art Unit: 1764** 

#### **DETAILED ACTION**

# Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 102

1. The following is a quotation of the appropriate paragraphs of 35 U.S.C. 102 that form the basis for the rejections under this section made in this Office action:

A person shall be entitled to a patent unless -

- (e) the invention was described in (1) an application for patent, published under section 122(b), by another filed in the United States before the invention by the applicant for patent or (2) a patent granted on an application for patent by another filed in the United States before the invention by the applicant for patent, except that an international application filed under the treaty defined in section 351(a) shall have the effects for purposes of this subsection of an application filed in the United States only if the international application designated the United States and was published under Article 21(2) of such treaty in the English language.
- 2. Claims 1- 8, 10 and 11 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 102(e) as being anticipated by Call et al. (6,488,900).

The applied reference has a common inventor with the instant application.

Based upon the earlier effective U.S. filing date of the reference, it constitutes prior art under 35 U.S.C. 102(e). This rejection under 35 U.S.C. 102(e) might be overcome either by a showing under 37 CFR 1.132 that any invention disclosed but not claimed in the reference was derived from the inventor of this application and is thus not the invention "by another," or by an appropriate showing under 37 CFR 1.131.

With respect to claim 1, Call et al. discloses an integrated thermal treatment system for a fluid comprising a counter-flow heat exchanger (202) disposed adjacent to a thermal reactor (200) wherein the heat exchanger comprises a plurality of channels where incoming fluid enters alternating channels and treated fluid flows counter-currently through the remaining channels after passing thought he thermal reactor (200) (col. 12, lines 27-56).

Application/Control Number: 09/686,917

Art Unit: 1764

With respect to claims 2 and 3, Call et al. further disclose wherein the housing of the apparatus is insulated and wherein the insulation can comprise aerogel panels (col. 10, line 66- col. 11, line 6 and col. 11, lines 33-45).

With respect to claim 4, Call et al. further disclose wherein catalytic elements may be disposed within reaction channels (col. 12, line 67- col. 13, line 3).

With respect to claim 5, Call et al. further disclose wherein the catalyst treatment zone comprises a noble metal catalyst (col. 2, lines 27-31).

With respect to claims 6 and 8, no structural limitations are claimed, only an operational condition. The claims continue to read on the apparatus of Call et al. because an apparatus claim covers what a device is, not what a device does. MPEP 2114.

With respect to claim 7, Call et al. further disclose wherein the thermal treatment zone comprises at least one electric resistive heating element (col. 2, lines 49-51).

With respect to claim 10, Call et al. further disclose wherein the channels are defined between a plurality of sheets stacked together in spaced-apart layers so that a gap is formed between adjacent sheets and thus forming a fluid channel (col. 2, line 66-col. 3, line 4).

With respect to claim 11, Call et al. further disclose wherein the sheets are comprised of thin metallic plates (reads on metal foil) (col. 6, lines 43-46, col. 8, lines 27-29 and col. 11, lines 35-37).

Application/Control Number: 09/686,917 Page 4

Art Unit: 1764

## Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 103

3. The following is a quotation of 35 U.S.C. 103(a) which forms the basis for all obviousness rejections set forth in this Office action:

- (a) A patent may not be obtained though the invention is not identically disclosed or described as set forth in section 102 of this title, if the differences between the subject matter sought to be patented and the prior art are such that the subject matter as a whole would have been obvious at the time the invention was made to a person having ordinary skill in the art to which said subject matter pertains. Patentability shall not be negatived by the manner in which the invention was made.
- 4. Claim 9 is rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over Call et al. (6,488,900) as applied to claim 1 above, and further in view of Wang et al. (5,552,051).

Call et al. discloses all of the structure as described above in the apparatus for purifying air to deactivate toxic chemical and biological waste, but fails to disclose a chiller/cooling means in the thermal treatment zone to condense condensable compounds from the air.

Wang et al. also disclose an apparatus for removing toxic compounds from gas streams (col. 1, lines 8-16) and teaches wherein a condenser can be used to remove condensable compounds from the gas (col. 8, lines 10-20). It would have been obvious to one of ordinary skill in the art at the time the invention was made to include a condensing means as taught by Wang et al. in the thermal treatment zone of Call et al. in order to insure the removal of all toxic compounds in the gas stream by providing a means to remove the condensable toxic compounds.

## Allowable Subject Matter

5. Claims 32-50 are allowed.

#### Response to Arguments

6. Applicant's arguments filed November 22, 2004 have been fully considered but they are not persuasive.

Applicant argues that the apparatus of the '900 patent does not disclose the thermal treatment zone "being integral to said plurality of untreated fluid channels and to said plurality of treated fluid channels".

The examiner respectfully disagrees with applicant. The thermal treatment zone (200) is found to be integral to both of said plurality of untreated fluid channels and plurality of treated fluid channels in that the untreated fluid channels feed into the treatment zone and, after the fluid traverses the treatment zone, a treated fluid exits via the treated fluid channels (see figure 12A).

#### Conclusion

7. THIS ACTION IS MADE FINAL. Applicant is reminded of the extension of time policy as set forth in 37 CFR 1.136(a).

A shortened statutory period for reply to this final action is set to expire THREE MONTHS from the mailing date of this action. In the event a first reply is filed within TWO MONTHS of the mailing date of this final action and the advisory action is not mailed until after the end of the THREE-MONTH shortened statutory period, then the shortened statutory period will expire on the date the advisory action is mailed, and any extension fee pursuant to 37 CFR 1.136(a) will be calculated from the mailing date of the advisory action. In no event, however, will the statutory period for reply expire later than SIX MONTHS from the mailing date of this final action.

Art Unit: 1764

8. Any inquiry concerning this communication or earlier communications from the examiner should be directed to Alexa A. Doroshenk whose telephone number is 571-272-1446. The examiner can normally be reached on Monday - Thursday from 9:00 AM - 7:30 PM.

If attempts to reach the examiner by telephone are unsuccessful, the examiner's supervisor, Glenn Caldarola can be reached on 571-272-1444. The fax phone number for the organization where this application or proceeding is assigned is 703-872-9306.

Information regarding the status of an application may be obtained from the Patent Application Information Retrieval (PAIR) system. Status information for published applications may be obtained from either Private PAIR or Public PAIR. Status information for unpublished applications is available through Private PAIR only. For more information about the PAIR system, see http://pair-direct.uspto.gov. Should you have questions on access to the Private PAIR system, contact the Electronic Business Center (EBC) at 866-217-9197 (toll-free).

Alexa A. Doroshenk

Examiner

Art Unit 1764